arXiv:1303.0170v1 [math.NT] 1 Mar 2013

EQUIDISTRIBUTION IN SUPERSINGULAR HECKE ORBITS

ARNO KRET

Abstract. We prove an equidistribution result for Hecke operators acting on the basic stratum of certain Shimura varieties. We relate the rate of convergence to the bounds from the Ramanujan conjecture of certain cuspidal automorphic representations on GL_n for which this conjecture is known, and therefore we obtain optimal estimates on the rate of convergence.

Introduction

Let S be an unitary Shimura variety of PEL type and consider a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of the reflex field where S has good reduction. The Newton stratification of S modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ is a canonical decomposition of $S_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ into an union of locally closed subvarieties. These subvarieties are stable under the Hecke correspondences. We consider the supersingular stratum B of $S_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ and *assume* B is finite. Thus we have a finite Galois set $B(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ equipped with an action of the Hecke correspondences. For any point $x \in B(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ we study its orbit under sequences of Hecke operators. We give an explicit description of this orbit and show that the Hecke operators act with equidistribution. See Theorem 3.1 for the precise statement.

The article consists of roughly two parts. In the first part we show equidistribution for Kottwitz varieties. Section 4 contains the proof; we use our automorphic description of the cohomology of B from [18] to prove equidistribution. The arguments are quite similar to [9,10].

The class of varieties considered in [18] is somewhat restricted, we considered a class of compact varieties where endoscopy does not play an essential role (the "Kottwitz varieties"). In Section 6 we extend the result from [*loc. cit.*] to a suitable statement valid for a substantially larger class of non-compact endoscopic varieties. We require, roughly, that of one the signatures of the unitary group is coprime with n (Hypothesis 6.1). We verify that this condition is always satisfied in case the basic stratum is finite. The assumption forces the endoscopic contribution to the cohomology of the basic stratum to vanish (Lemma 6.4). These results (Theorem 6.2, Proposition 7.1) could be of independent interest. Finally, in Section 7 we deduce the equidistrubtion statement for the endoscopic varieties.

We would like to mention the article of Menares [22]. We learned the idea of equidistribution in supersingular Hecke orbits from his article. He proved that the Hecke operators T_m for the group $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ act with equidistribution on the supersingular stratum of the modular curve $X_0(p)$.

Acknowledgements: I thank my thesis adviser Laurent Clozel for pointing out that the result from [18] will allow one to prove equidistribution. I also thank my second advisor Laurent Farges, and finally I also thank Emmanuel Ullmo who indicated the article of Menares.

CONTENTS

Introduction 1
1. Some simple Shimura varieties 2
2. Hecke operators 3
3. Hecke orbits 4
4. Equidistribution for Kottwitz varieties 7
5. A typical example 8
6. Endoscopic unitary Shimura varieties 11
7. Equidistribution for endoscopic varieties 17
8. A remark on the finiteness condition? 18
References 18

1. SOME SIMPLE SHIMURA VARIETIES

Consider the class of Shimura varieties of Kottwitz [16]. Such varieties are associated to a division algebra D whose center is a CM field F. We will embed F into the complex numbers, and we assume the field F splits into a compositum $F = \mathcal{K}F^+$ of a quadratic imaginary number field $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a totally real number field F^+.

For any commutative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra R, the group $G(R)$ is by definition the group of elements $g \in D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ such that $xx^* \in R^\times$. If $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}})$ is a compact open subgroup, sufficiently small, then we have a variety Sh_K defined over the reflex field E. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be an E-prime where the variety Sh_K has good reduction in the sense defined by Kottwitz [17]. In particular Sh_K extends to a smooth and proper scheme defined over $\mathcal{O}_{E_\mathfrak{p}}$. We write $\mathbb{F}_q$ for the residue field of E at $\mathfrak{p}$. Let p be the rational prime number under $\mathfrak{p}$. We fix an embedding $\nu_p \colon E \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ compatible with $\mathfrak{p}$.

Throughout this article we assume that the prime number p is split in the field $\mathcal{K}$. Let B be the supersingular locus of $\mathrm{Sh}_{K,\mathbb{F}_q}$ [25]. Furthermore, we assume throughout that B is a *finite variety*. In fact, among the set of all Kottwitz varieties, this rarely happens. However, the class of varieties with finite basic stratum is still quite interesting; it contains all the varieties considered by Harris and Taylor to prove the local Langlands conjecture [12]. The condition that B is finite corresponds to a condition on the signatures of the unitary group at infinity and the decomposition of the prime number p in the field F^+. More explicitly, let $U \subset G$ be the subgroup of elements with trivial factor of similitude. Then $U(\mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to a product of real, standard unitary groups $U(s_v, n - s_v)$, where v ranges over the infinite

F^+-places. We may, and do, assume that $s_v \leq \frac{1}{2}n$. The field F^+ is embedded into $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and also in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, and therefore the group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ acts on the set of infinite F^+-places. In case $s_v > 1$ for some v, then certainly B is infinite. Assume that $s_v \leq 1$ for all v. Then the variety B is finite if and only if $s_v = 1$ for at most one infinite F^+-place in each $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$-orbit of infinite F^+-places. For the proof of this statement, see [19, § 4.3].

Via the embeddings $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \supset F^+ \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, any F^+-place $\wp$ above p defines a $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$-orbit of embeddings $F^+ \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. We define numbers $s_\wp$ by $s_\wp := \sum_{v \in \wp} s_v$ by each $\wp | p$. Due to our assumptions on the s_v we have $s_\wp \in \{0, 1\}$ for each $\wp$.

Let A be the free complex vector space on the set $B(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$. The Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}})//K)$ acts on the variety B through correspondences and on the vector space A via endomorphisms. Let f_∞ be a function at infinity whose stable orbital integrals are prescribed by the identities of Kottwitz in [15]; it can be taken to be (essentially) an Euler-Poincaré function [16, Lemma 3.2] (cf. [8]). The function has the following property: Let π_∞ be an $(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty)$-module occurring as the component at infinity of an automorphic representation π of G. Then the trace of f_∞ against π_∞ equals the Euler-Poincaré characteristic $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} N_\infty (-1)^i \dim \mathrm{H}^i(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty; \pi_\infty \otimes \xi)$, where N_∞ is a certain explicit constant (cf. [16, p. 657, Lemma 3.2]).

We use the following space of automorphic forms: $\mathcal{A}(G) = L^2(G(\mathbb{Q})\backslash G(\mathbb{A}), \omega)$, where ω is the trivial central character.

For every Hecke operator $f^p \in \mathcal{H}(G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}^p)//K)$ we have (cf. [18]):

$$\mathrm{Tr}(f^p \otimes \mathbf{1}_{K_p}, A) = \varepsilon \sum_{\pi \subset \mathcal{A}(G), \pi_p \text{ Steinberg type}} \mathrm{Tr}(f_\infty f, \pi^p) + \sum_{\pi \subset \mathcal{A}(G),\ \dim(\pi)=1} \mathrm{Tr}(f_\infty f, \pi^p), \tag{1.1}$$

where the sign ε equals $(-1)^{t(n-1)}$ with t the number of infinite F^+-places v such that $p_v = 1$. We recall the definition of "Steinberg type" from our previous article (cf. [18, Def. 4]):

Remark. The module A is semi-simple as $\mathcal{H}(G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}^p))$-module because all its irreducible subquotients lie in the discrete spectrum of G.

Definition 1.1. A smooth representation π_p of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is of *Steinberg type* if, (**1**) For all F^+-places $\wp$ above p we have

$$\pi_\wp = \begin{cases} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F^+_\wp)} \otimes \phi_\wp & s_\wp = 1 \\ \text{Generic unramified} & s_\wp = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\phi_\wp$ is an unramified character, and (**2**) The factor of similitude $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ acts through an unramified character on the space of π_p.

We will use the result in Equation (1.1) to deduce an equidistribution statement of Hecke operators acting on the basic stratum $B(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$.

2. HECKE OPERATORS

Let $\{T_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{H}(G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}))$ be a sequence of Hecke operators such that

- (**H1**) $T_m = \bigotimes_v T_{m,v}$ is an elementary tensor of local Hecke operators.
- (**H2**) $T_{m,S} = \mathbf{1}_{K_S}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where S is a finite set outside which the data (G, K) is unramified, K decomposes into $K = K_S K^S$, $K_S \subset G(\mathbb{A}_S)$ compact open and $K^S \subset G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}^S)$ hyperspecial.

(The first condition is only there for notational convenience.)

There is an important condition on the sequence $\{T_m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$, before we can prove equidistribution. We define $\deg(T_m) := \mathrm{Tr}(T_m, \mathbf{1})$.

We define a number $N(T_m) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, as follows. Let ℓ be a prime number coprime to S. Let $T_{m,\ell}$ be the ℓ-th component of T_m. Let T_ℓ be a maximal split torus in $G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ with rational Weyl group W_ℓ, and let $\sum_{\nu\in X_*(T_\ell)} c_{\ell,\nu}\cdot[\nu] \in \mathbb{C}[X_*(T_\ell)]^{W_\ell}$ be the Satake transform of T_m. We define $N_\ell(T_{m,\ell}) := \sum_{\nu\in X_*(T)} |c_{\ell,\nu}|$, and $N(T_m) := \prod_{\ell\notin S} N_\ell(T_{m,\ell})$.

We require on the sequence T_m that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{N(T_m)}{\deg(T_m)} = 0, \tag{H3}$$

(cf. Equation (4.1)). In Section 5 we give an example of a sequence of Hecke operators satisfying conditions (H1), (H2) and (H3).

Definition 2.1. We define the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{T} \subset C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}))$ to be the complex algebra generated by the operators T_m.

Remark. The operators T_m commute with each other, thus the algebra $\mathcal{T}$ is commutative.

3. HECKE ORBITS

The Hecke algebra $\mathcal{T}$ does not act transitively on the basic stratum; there are two innocent obstructions: (1) an obstruction from the cocenter of the group G, and (2) the Hasse invariant $\mathrm{Ker}^1(G,\mathbb{Q})$, which need not be trivial. We will define certain 'candidate' orbits of $\mathcal{T}$ acting on B. Our main theorem states that the algebra $\mathcal{T}$ acts transitively and with equidistribution on these orbits.

The obstructions (1) and (2) are what one expects: For the first obstruction (1): If the image of $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}})$ in the cocenter $C(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}})$ is not sufficiently large (and this will always be the case for many C, due to the presence of Abelian class groups), then the double coset space $G(\mathbb{Q})\backslash(X \times G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}})/K)$ is not connected. A point in one connected component will be sent by a Hecke operator to another connected component only if this operator is non-trivial on the cocenter.

The second obstruction (2) is there because $\mathrm{Sh}_K(\mathbb{C})$ is *not* equal to the double coset space $G(\mathbb{Q})\backslash(X \times G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}})/K)$, rather it is a disjoint union

$$\mathrm{Sh}_K(\mathbb{C}) = \coprod_{\mathrm{Ker}^1(G:\mathbb{Q})} G(\mathbb{Q})\backslash(X \times G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}})/K), \tag{3.1}$$

indexed by the group $\mathrm{Ker}^1(G:\mathbb{Q})$ (this group depends only on the cocenter of G, and is trivial in case n is even, see [17, p. 393]). The Hecke correspondences act on the right hand side via their natural action on the double coset spaces. Thus, clearly, over $\mathbb{C}$, all points in a Hecke orbit will have the same invariant in $\mathrm{Ker}^1(G:\mathbb{Q})$.

Let $d\colon G \twoheadrightarrow C$ be the cocenter of the group. We have the morphism h from Deligne's torus $\mathbb{S} := \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$ to $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. By composing this morphism with $d\otimes\mathbb{R}$ we obtain a morphism $h'\colon \mathbb{S}\to C_{\mathbb{R}}$. The couple $(C,\{h'\})$ is a zero dimensional Shimura datum. The finite Shimura variety $\mathrm{Sh}(C,\{h'\})$ parametrizes the connected components of the original variety [11], i.e. the natural morphism

$$\pi_0(G(\mathbb{Q})\backslash(X\times G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}})/K)) \longrightarrow C(\mathbb{Q})\backslash(\{h\}\times C(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}})/d(K)), \tag{3.2}$$

is an isomorphism. Via this mapping, the action of the Hecke operator $f\in\mathcal{H}(G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}))$ on the left hand side coincides with the action of the operator $\Psi(f)$ in $\mathcal{H}(C(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}))$ on the right hand side. Here the map $\Psi\colon \mathcal{H}(G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}))\to\mathcal{H}(C(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}))$ is characterized by

$$\forall c\in C(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}})\ \forall f\in\mathcal{H}(G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}})):$$

$$[\Psi f]\,(c) = \begin{cases} \int_{G_{\mathrm{der}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}})} f(gh)\mathrm{d}\mu(h) & \text{if } c=\overline{g}\in\mathrm{Im}(G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}})\to C(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}))\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

where the Haar measure on $G_{\mathrm{der}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}})$ is the one giving the group $K\cap G_{\mathrm{der}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}})$ volume 1.

Let E be the reflex field of the datum (G,X). The mapping in Equation (3.2) is $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/E)$-equivariant and descents to an isomorphism of E-schemes $\pi_0(\mathrm{Sh}(G:K))\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathrm{Sh}(C:d(K))$. The variety Sh_K is an union of $\#\,\mathrm{Ker}^1(G,\mathbb{Q})$ copies of the variety $\mathrm{Sh}(G:K)$ [17, §6]. We obtain an E-isomorphism

$$\pi_0(\mathrm{Sh}_K)\xrightarrow{\sim}\coprod_{\mathrm{Ker}^1(G,\mathbb{Q})}\mathrm{Sh}(C:d(K)). \tag{3.4}$$

Both sides are finite étale E-schemes and the $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/E)$-action is unramified at $\mathfrak{p}$. Locally at the prime $\mathfrak{p}$ we have a natural model of Sh_K over the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, and we construct a model of the right hand side in the straightforward manner: Take the global sections A of the scheme $\coprod_{\mathrm{Ker}^1(G,\mathbb{Q})}\mathrm{Sh}(C:d(K))_{E_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. Then A is a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebra; let $A^\circ\subset A$ be the integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ in A. Then $\mathrm{Spec}(A^\circ)$ is our integral model. We write $Y=\mathrm{Spec}(A^\circ)$ and view it as a as scheme over $\mathcal{O}_{E,\mathfrak{p}}$. We reduce the map in Equation (3.4) modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ and compose with $B\subset\mathrm{Sh}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}\twoheadrightarrow\pi_0(\mathrm{Sh}_{K,\mathfrak{p}})$ to obtain the map $\psi\colon B\to Y$.

Let $\mathrm{Avg}\colon A\to A$ be the mapping taking the 'average' of an element $v\in A$ along the fibres of the mapping $\psi\colon B\to Y$. More precisely, Avg is defined as follows. For each point $y\in Y$ we have the fibre B_y of ψ above y. Define A_y to be the free complex vector space on the set $B_y(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$. Then A is the direct sum of the A_y with y ranging over the set $Y(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$. For each $y\in Y$ we have the map (of vector spaces): $\Psi_y\colon A_y\to\mathbb{C}$, defined by $\sum_{x\in B_y(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)}a_x\cdot[x]\mapsto\sum_{x\in B_y(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)}a_x$. Write

$E_y = \sum_{x \in B_y(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)} [x] \in A_y$. Define the endomorphism $\mathrm{Avg}_y \colon A_y \to A_y$, by $v \mapsto \frac{\Psi_y(v)}{\# B_y(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)} \cdot E_y$. The fibres $B_y(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ are all of the same cardinality $\# C(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})/d(K)$. Take the direct sum of Avg_v over all $y \in Y$ to obtain the endomorphism $\mathrm{Avg} \colon A \to A$.

We will prove that any element $v \in A$ will converge to its average under the action of the sequence of Hecke operators $T_m \in \mathcal{T}$.

The complex vector space A is finite dimensional and therefore carries a norm $|\cdot|$, uniquely defined up to equivalence of norms. Using this norm we may give the precise statement of the main theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Let T_m be a sequence of Hecke operators satisfying conditions* (H1) *and* (H2). *Let $v \in A$ be an element. There exists a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a natural number M such that for all $m > M$ we have*

$$\left| \frac{T_m(v)}{\deg(T_m)} - \Psi(T_m)(\mathrm{Avg}(v)) \right| \leq C \cdot \left| \frac{N(T_m)}{\deg(T_m)} \right|.$$

Proof. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 3.1. □

Now assume additionally that the sequence T_M satisfies condition (H3) saying that $\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{N(T_m)}{\deg(T_m)} = 0$. Then Theorem 3.1 gives an equidistribution statement for the sequence T_m acting on the basic stratum.

In Section 5 we explain what Theorem 3.1 says for a concrete example.

Remark. Theorem 3.1 gives a bound on the rate of convergence: The sequence $\frac{T_m(v)}{\deg(T_m)}$ convergences to the sequence $\Psi(T_m)(\mathrm{Avg}(v))$ with at least the speed of convergence of the limit $\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{N(T_m)}{\deg(T_m)} = 0$. This convergence bound is the optimal bound coming from the Ramanujan conjecture.

Let us discuss in some more detail the statement of Theorem 3.1. Strictly speaking, Theorem 3.1 does not say that the sequence $(T_m(v)/\deg(T_m))$ converges; its says that the terms of this sequence get arbitrarily close to the terms of the sequence $\Psi(T_m)(\mathrm{Avg}(v))$. If one chooses a sequence of operators T_m such that the operators $\Psi(T_m)$ are non-trivial for an infinite amount of times, then the sequence $\Psi(T_m)(\mathrm{Avg}(v))$ does not converge; it will vary over a finite set of values. Thus, in those cases, our theorem actually says that the limit $\lim_{m \to \infty}(T_m(v)/\deg(T_m))$ does not exist at all.

This behavior is completely normal and understandable: Already in the classic, complex setting, equidistribution is false (in the strict sense) when the group has non-trivial cocenter. To convince the reader, we consider a Shimura variety for the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$: Consider the set $S_N := (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ viewed as a variety over $\mathbb{Q}$ by identifying S_N with the N-th primitive roots of unity $\mu_N^\times$. A natural sequence of Hecke operators acting on this variety is the sequence of operators T_p induced by the p-th Frobenius element acting on $\mu_N^\times(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ (p not dividing N). The operator T_p acts on the complex valued functions f on S_N by multiplication:

$T_p \cdot f := (x \mapsto f(px))$. Clearly, the limit $\lim_{p \to \infty} T_p(f)$ does not exist in general, only if one imposes a condition like $p \equiv 1 \mod N$.

Thus, returning our original Shimura datum and our original sequence T_m, one can do two things to get equidistribution in the strict sense:

- Restrict the sequence of Hecke operators to range only over those T_m acting trivially on the cocenter (i.e. $\Psi(T_m)$ acts by multiplication with $\deg(T_m)$), yielding certain conditions on the numbers m;
- Restrict the space of vectors $v \in V$, and consider only those v such that the Hecke algebra acts by multiplication with its degree on $\mathrm{Avg}(v)$.

We choose to keep the slightly more complicated statement of convergence involving several limit points.

4. Equidistribution for Kottwitz varieties

We prove Theorem 3.1.

Definition 4.1. The character formula for A in Equation (1.1) expresses A as a sum of Hecke modules of the form $(\pi_{\mathrm{f}}^p)^{K^p}$. We define $A_0 \subset A$ to be the $\mathcal{T}$-submodule generated by the submodules $(\pi_{\mathrm{f}}^p)^{K^p} \subset A$ for π an infinite dimensional automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$.

The following Proposition proves the essential part of Theorem 3.1:

Proposition 4.2. *Let $v \in A_0$. Let $T_m \in \mathcal{H}(G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}))$ be a sequence of Hecke operators satisfying conditions* (H1) *and* (H2). *There exists a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for all natural numbers m we have*

$$\left| \frac{T_m(v)}{\deg(T_m)} \right| \leq C \cdot \left| \frac{N(T_m)}{\deg(T_m)} \right| .$$

Proof. Of course, by multiplying with the degree, it suffices to show that $|T_m(v)| \leq C|N(T_m)|$ (for some constant C, not necessarily the same constant as in the Theorem). By our Theorem in Equation (1.1) it suffices to prove this inequality for each vector $v \in \pi_{\mathrm{f}}^K$ in each automorphic representation π contributing to the character formula of A_0. Let π be one of these cuspidal automorphic representations. We use base change to send π to an automorphic representation $BC(\pi)$ of the algebraic group $\mathcal{K}^\times \times D^\times$ (see [2]), and we send the automorphic representation $BC(\pi)$ to an automorphic representation $\Pi := JL(BC(\pi))$ of the algebraic group $G^+ := \mathcal{K}^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ (see [27] and [4]). This automorphic representation is *discrete*. At p we have $G^+(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and Π_p is isomorphic to $\pi_p \otimes \pi_p$. The representation π_p is essentially square integrable because it is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation, and therefore Π_p also has this property. The representation Π is then forced to be cuspidal by the classification of Moeglin-Waldspurger of the discrete spectrum [23].

Because Π is cuspidal the Ramanujan conjecture applies to it. This conjecture is true for Π because Π is obtained by base change and Jacquet-Langlands from an automorphic representation π of an unitary group (of similitudes). Thus Π is conjugate self-dual. Furthermore,

Π is cohomological because $\mathrm{Tr}(f_\infty, \pi_\infty) \neq 0$. For such representations Π the conjecture is proved to be true in the articles [6, 7, 26]. Consequently the components Π_λ are *tempered* $\mathrm{GL}_n(F_\lambda)$-representations for all primes λ of F.

Let ℓ be a prime not lying in S. Then Π_ℓ is the base change of π_ℓ. We know that Π_ℓ is unramified and tempered, and we know that π_ℓ is unramified as well. Therefore, π_ℓ must be a tempered representation of $G(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.

Let ℓ be a prime number not lying in S. The representation π_ℓ is tempered, and therefore the absolute values of the eigenvalues of its Hecke matrix φ_{π_ℓ} are all equal to 1. Let $\sum_{\nu \in X_*(T_\ell)} c_\nu[\nu] \in \mathbb{C}[T_\ell]^{W_\ell}$ be the Satake transform of $T_{m,\ell}$. Because φ is tempered we have

$$|\mathrm{Tr}(T_{m,\ell}, \pi_\ell)| = \left| \sum_{\nu \in X_*(T_\ell)} c_\nu[\nu](\varphi) \right| \leq \sum_{\nu \in X_*(T_\ell)} |c_\nu| = N_\ell(T_m). \tag{4.1}$$

Take the product over all ℓ to get the estimate of the theorem. □

The proof of the main theorem for Kottwitz varieties is now not more than a formality:

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We have the composition $\psi\colon B \hookrightarrow S_{K,\mathfrak{p}} \twoheadrightarrow \pi_0(S_K)_\mathfrak{p}$. The Hecke operator f acts via $\Psi(f)$ on $\pi_0(S_K)_\mathfrak{p} = Y$. The map ψ induces an inclusion of the free complex vector space on $Y(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ into A: $i\colon A_{\mathrm{Ab}} \hookrightarrow A$. The composition $\mathrm{Avg} \circ i$ is the identity on A_{Ab}. Thus Avg induces a decomposition $A = A_{\mathrm{Ab}} \oplus \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Avg})$. We claim that $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Avg}) = A_0$, the subspace generated by the infinite dimensional automorphic representations. To see this, note that A_{Ab} is the sum of precisely all the one-dimensional automorphic representations of $G(\mathbb{A})$ occurring in the character formula of A (Equation (1.1)). The remaining (infinite dimensional) representations thus account for $A/A_{\mathrm{Ab}} = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Avg})$. Thus $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Avg}) = A_0$. The composition $B \subset S_{K,\mathfrak{p}} \twoheadrightarrow \pi_0(S_K)_\mathfrak{p}$ is equivariant for the Hecke operators. Thus we have the formula $f \cdot i(v) = i(\Psi(f)v)$ for all $v \in A_{\mathrm{Ab}}$ and all Hecke operators f on $G(\mathbb{A}_\mathrm{f})$. The theorem now follows: For $v = v_0 + v_{\mathrm{Ab}}$, we have $T_m(v) = T_m(v_0) + T_m(v_{\mathrm{Ab}}) = T_m(v_0) + \Psi(T_m)\mathrm{Avg}(v)$. The sequence $T_m(v_0)$ is estimated by Proposition 4.2. This completes the proof. □

5. A TYPICAL EXAMPLE

We give two typical examples of sequences of Hecke operators for which one can prove equidistribution.

First example. Look at a sequence of powers of a fixed operator: Let $T \in \mathcal{H}(G(\mathbb{A}_\mathrm{f}))$ be one Hecke operator satisfying the conditions (H1) and (H2) above, and $N(T)/\deg(T) \leq 1$. Then the sequence of powers $T_m := (T)^{*m}$ for the convolution product $*$ satisfies $\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{N(T_m)}{\deg(T_m)} = 0$. By Theorem 3.1 the sequence T_m thus acts with equidistribution on the basic stratum.

Second example. We define an explicit sequence of Hecke operators $T_{r,m} \in \mathcal{H}(G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}))$, where m ranges over the square free integers, and r ranges over the integers $1 \leq r \leq n-1$. Consider the $\mathbb{Q}$-group $G_+ := \mathrm{Res}_{\mathcal{K}/\mathbb{Q}} G_{\mathcal{K}}$ with $G_+(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathcal{K}^\times \times D^\times$. Let S be a finite set of finite, rational primes, such that:

1. for all primes ℓ not lying in S, the group $G_+(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is a product of general linear groups over finite, unramified extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$;
2. K splits into a product $K = K_S K^S$, where K_S is a subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f},S})$ and K^S is a subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}^S)$;
3. the prime p lies in S;
4. the group K^S is hyperspecial.

Let G^+ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-group $\mathcal{K}^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. Then G^+ is an inner form of G_+, and we have $G^+(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong G_+(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ for all primes ℓ not in S. The group G^+ has an obvious model over $\mathbb{Z}$, and thus we have the hyperspecial subgroup $G^+(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \subset G^+(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}})$. Let m and r be integers, where we have $0 \leq r \leq n$ (no condition on m). Then, by definition, the operator $T^+_{r,m}$ is defined to be the characteristic function:

$$T^+_{r,m} := \mathrm{char}\left(G^+(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \cdot (1) \times \mathrm{diag}(\underbrace{m, m, \ldots, m}_{r}, 1, 1, \ldots 1) \cdot G^+(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \right) \in \mathcal{H}(G^+(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}})), \tag{5.1}$$

where we should clarify the notation. We have $G^+(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{K}}^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_n(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{K}}^\times$ is the factor of similitude. With $(1) \times \mathrm{diag}(\ldots)$, we mean an element of $G^+(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ that has trivial factor of similitude, and $\mathrm{diag}(\ldots)$ describes a diagonal matrix in the general linear group over $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F$.

Because the group $G_+(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}^S)$ is isomorphic to $G^+(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}^S)$, the operator $T^{+S}_{r,m} = \bigotimes_{\ell \notin S} T^{(\ell)}_{r,m}$ lives also in the algebra $\mathcal{H}(G_+(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}^S))$. We have the base change morphism

$$\mathrm{BC} \colon \mathcal{H}(G_+(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}^S)//G_+(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^S)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}^S)//K^S).$$

We define the operator $T^S_{r,m}$ to be $\mathrm{BC}(T^{+S}_{r,m})$, and we define

$$T_{r,m} := \mathbf{1}_{K^S} \otimes T^S_{r,m} \in C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}})//K). \tag{5.2}$$

We now verify that $\lim_{m,r \to \infty} T_{r,m} \to 0$. Because we have an explicit sequence, one can give explicit bounds:

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists an integer $M > 0$ such that for all square-free integers $m > M$ all integers r with $1 \leq r \leq n-1$,*

$$\left| \frac{N(T_{r,m})}{\deg(T_{r,m})} \right| < C m^{\varepsilon - [F:\mathbb{Q}] \frac{r(n-r)}{2}}.$$

Before proving Proposition 5.1, we first prove two lemmas.

Lemma 5.2. *There exists a constant* $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ *such that for all square-free integers* $m > M$ *and all integers* r *with* $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ *we have*

$$\left| \frac{N(T_{r,m})}{\deg(T_{r,m})} \right| \leq C \binom{n}{r}^{c_F(m)} m^{-[F:\mathbb{Q}] \frac{r(n-r)}{2}}.$$

Notation. Let m be a positive integer, unramified in F. In the lemma above we wrote $c_F(m)$ for the number of $\mathcal{O}_F$-prime ideals λ containing the number m.

Lemma 5.3. *Let* $\varepsilon > 0$. *There exists an integer* $M > 0$ *such that for all integers* $m > M$ *we have* $\binom{n}{r}^{c_F(m)} \leq m^{\varepsilon}$.

Clearly, Proposition 5.1 follows once the two lemmas have been established. Let us now prove the two lemmas.

Proof of Lemma 5.2. Let ℓ be a prime divisor of m. Because m is square free, the prime ℓ divides m precisely once, and the ℓ-th part of the function $T^+_{r,m}$ equals

$$\operatorname{char}\left(G^+(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \cdot (1) \times \operatorname{diag}(\ell, \ell, \ldots, \ell, 1, 1, \ldots, 1) \cdot G^+(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)\right) \in \mathcal{H}(G(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)). \tag{5.3}$$

The element $(1) \times \operatorname{diag}(\ell, \ell, \ldots, \ell, 1, 1, \ldots, 1) \in G^+(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is the evaluation at ℓ of a *miniscule* cocharacter $\mu_r \in X_*(G^+)$. The field F is unramified above ℓ, and therefore ℓ is a prime element of the local field F_λ for every F-place λ dividing ℓ. Because μ_r is miniscule there is a simple formula for the Satake transform of $T^{+(\ell)}_{r,m}$ (cf. [13]):

$$\mathcal{S}(T^{+(\ell)}_{r,m}) = 1 \otimes \bigotimes_{\lambda | \ell} q_\lambda^{\frac{r(n-r)}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r \leq n} X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \cdots X_{i_r}, \tag{5.4}$$

in the algebra

$$\mathbb{C}[X_*(T_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})] = \mathbb{C}[Z] \otimes \bigotimes_{\lambda | \ell} \mathbb{C}[X_1^{\pm 1}, X_2^{\pm 1}, \ldots, X_n^{\pm 1}], \tag{5.5}$$

where $T_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \subset G^+_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ is the diagonal torus. We specify that the big tensor product in these equations ranges over all the F-places λ lying above ℓ, and for such an F-place λ, we write q_λ for the cardinality of the residue field at λ.

Note that, up to constant (which we ignore), the degree $\deg(T_{r,m,\ell})$ equals $\deg(T^+_{r,m,\ell})$. The degree $\deg(T^+_{r,m,\ell})$ is the evaluation of the polynomial $\mathcal{S}(T^+_{r,m,\ell})$ at the Hecke matrix of the trivial representation φ_{Triv}, and is therefore made completely explicit at this point. We may now estimate $|\mathcal{S}(T^+_{r,m,\ell})(\varphi_{\mathrm{Triv}})|$. If we evaluate the symmetric polynomial

$$\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r \leq n} X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \cdots X_{i_r},$$

at the Hecke matrix of the trivial representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F_\lambda)$, then the largest appearing monomial is $q_\lambda^{(n-1)/2 + (n-3)/2 + \ldots + (n-2r+1)/2} = q_\lambda^{r(n-r)/2}$. Hence the following lower bound:

$$|\mathcal{S}(T^+_{r,m,\ell})(\varphi_{\mathrm{Triv}})| \geq C_{\mathrm{Sat}} \prod_{\lambda | \ell} q_\lambda^{\frac{r(n-r)}{2}} = C_{\mathrm{Sat}} \ell^{[F:\mathbb{Q}] \frac{r(n-r)}{2}}, \tag{5.6}$$

here C_{Sat} is a certain constant. We have

$$|N(T_{r,m,\ell}| \leq |N(T^+_{r,m,\ell})| = C_{\mathrm{Sat}} \prod_{\lambda|\ell} \binom{n}{r} = C_{\mathrm{Sat}} \binom{n}{r}^{c_F(\ell)}. \tag{5.7}$$

The lemma follows by combining Equations (5.6) and (5.7). □

We prove Lemma 5.3 only for $F = \mathbb{Q}$; we leave it to the reader to reduce to this case, or to extend the argument below.

Proof of Lemma 5.3. We have $(c_{\mathbb{Q}}(m))! \leq m$. Write $m = \Gamma(x)$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ where Γ is the usual Gamma function. Then $c_{\mathbb{Q}}(m) \leq x$ and from Stirling's formula we get

$$\frac{c_{\mathbb{Q}}(m)}{\log(m)} \sim \frac{c_{\mathbb{Q}}(m)}{\log\left(\sqrt{2\pi x} e^{-x} x^x\right)} \leq \frac{1}{\log(x) - 1 + \frac{\log(\sqrt{2\pi x})}{x}}.$$

The right hand side converges to 0 for $x \to \infty$. Thus we may find (for any $\varepsilon > 0$) an M such that $\exp(c_{\mathbb{Q}}(m)) \leq m^{\varepsilon}$ for all $m > M$. This completes the proof. □

Applying Theorem 3.1 to the explicit sequence $T_{r,m}$ we get

Corollary 5.4. *Let $v \in A$ be an element. Then there exists a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist an index M, such that for all square-free integers $m > M$ and all r with $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ we have*

$$\left| \frac{T_{r,m}(v)}{\deg(T_{r,m})} - \Psi(T_{r,m})(\mathrm{Avg}(v)) \right| \leq C m^{\varepsilon - [F:\mathbb{Q}]\frac{r(n-r)}{2}}.$$

6. Endoscopic unitary Shimura varieties

We extend result of our article [18] to a larger class of endoscopic unitary Shimura varieties satisfying a technical simplifying condition (Hypothesis 6.1). We prove later that this condition is satisfied for unitary Shimura varieties whose basic stratum is finite.

We consider a Shimura variety of PEL-type, of type A, as considered by Kottwitz in [17]. We assume fixed a PEL-datum consisting of

- **(A1)** A simple algebra[1] Y over a CM field F;
- **(A2)** A positive involution on the algebra Y inducing complex conjugation on F;
- **(A3)** A Hermitian Y-module $(V, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$, where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is symplectic;
- **(A4)** $h\colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{End}_Y(V)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a morphism of $\mathbb{R}$-algebras such that $h(\overline{z}) = h(z)^*$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let (G, X) be the Shimura datum associated to (**A1**), (**A2**), (**A3**) and the morphism h^{-1}. We assume that F is of the form $\mathcal{K}F^+$, with $\mathcal{K}/\mathbb{Q}$ a quadratic imaginary extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ and F a totally real extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. Then the group $G_{\mathcal{K}}$ is isomorphic to a product of (Weil-restriction of scalars of) general linear groups. We let p be a prime of good reduction in the sense of Kottwitz [17, §5] and we assume that p splits in $\mathcal{K}/\mathbb{Q}$. We write E for the reflex field of the Shimura datum. Furthermore we let $K \subset G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}})$ be a compact open subgroup of the form $K = K_p K^p$, with K_p hyperspecial and K^p sufficiently small so that the PEL-type moduli problem of level K is defined over $\mathcal{O}_E \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ and the variety Sh_K is smooth and quasi-projective.

---

[1] The notation Y is nonstandard and questionable; we use it because the usual notation B conflicts with our notation for the basic stratum.

Pick an E-prime $\mathfrak{p}$ above p and let B be the basic stratum of the variety $\mathrm{Sh}_{K,\mathbb{F}_q}$, where $\mathbb{F}_q$ is the residue field of $\mathcal{O}_E$ at $\mathfrak{p}$. We pick an embedding of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ extending the embedding of E into $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ defined by $\mathfrak{p}$. We fix once and for all an embedding of F into $\mathbb{C}$, and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ will always mean the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathbb{C}$. The field $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ is the residue field of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ and the field $\mathbb{F}_q$ is the residue field of E at $\mathfrak{p}$.

Because we have the embeddings $F \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ acts on the set of infinite F^+-places $V(F^+)$ and we may identify any $\wp | p$ with a Galois orbit $V(\wp)$ of infinite places. Let $U \subset G$ be the subgroup of elements with trivial factor of similitude. Then $U(\mathbb{R})$ is a product of standard real groups: $U(\mathbb{R}) = \prod_{v \in V(F^+)} U(s_v, n - s_v)$ for certain numbers s_v. We assume that $s_v \leq \frac{1}{2}n$ so that these numbers are well defined. For each F^+-place $\wp$ above p we define $s_\wp := \sum_{v \in \wp} s_v$ (see also § 1). The additional technical condition is the following:

Hypothesis 6.1. *There exists an F^+-prime $\wp$ such that the number $s_\wp$ is coprime to n.*

Theorem 6.2. *Assume Hypothesis 6.1 is true for the Shimura datum (G, X). The sum*

$$\sum_{x' \in \mathrm{Fix}^B_{\Phi^\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \times f^{\infty p}}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)} \mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \times f^{\infty p}, \iota^*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_x), \tag{6.1}$$

equals

$$\sum_{\pi, \dim(\pi)=1, \pi_p = \mathrm{Unr}} m(\pi) \zeta^\alpha_\pi P(q^\alpha) \cdot \mathrm{Tr}(f^p, \pi^p) + \\ + (-1)^{(n-1)r} \cdot \sum_{\pi, \pi_p = \mathrm{St.\ type}} m(\pi) \zeta^\alpha_\pi P(q^\alpha) \cdot \mathrm{Tr}(f^p, \pi^p), \tag{6.2}$$

where in both sums π ranges over the discrete automorphic representations of G. The number r equals the number of F^+-places $\wp | p$ such that $s_\wp > 0$.

Proof. Let α be a integer. Consider the function $f = f_\infty f_\alpha f^p$ in the Hecke algebra of G, where f_∞ is a Clozel-Delorme function for the trivial complex representation of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $f^p \in \mathcal{H}(G(\mathbb{A}^p_{\mathrm{f}}))$ is any K^p-spherical Hecke operator. Let ℓ be a prime number different from p and fix an isomorphism $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \cong \mathbb{C}$ of abstract fields. Without further mention, we will use this isomorphism to turn the complex valued function $f^{p\infty}$ into a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-valued function in the cases where this is necessary. Write ι for the inclusion $B \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{K,\mathbb{F}_q}$. Recall that the article [17] gives the result:

$$\sum_{x' \in \mathrm{Fix}_{\Phi^\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \times f^{\infty p}}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)} \mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}} \times f^{\infty p}, \iota^*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_x) = |\ker^1(\mathbb{Q}, G)| \sum_{(\gamma_0; \gamma, \delta)} c(\gamma_0; \gamma, \delta) O_\gamma(f^{\infty p}) TO_\delta(\phi_\alpha), \tag{6.3}$$

where the notations are from [§19, *loc. cit*]. We restrict this formula to the basic stratum B by considering on the right hand side only *basic* Kottwitz triples. The equation then becomes

$$\sum_{x' \in \mathrm{Fix}^{B}_{\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\alpha} \times f^{\infty p}}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)} \mathrm{Tr}(\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\alpha} \times f^{\infty p}, \iota^*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_x) =$$

$$= |\ker^1(\mathbb{Q}, G)| \sum_{(\gamma_0;\gamma,\delta)} c(\gamma_0;\gamma,\delta) O_\gamma(f^{\infty p}) TO_\delta(\chi_{\sigma c}^{G(E_{\mathfrak{p},\alpha})} \phi_\alpha), \tag{6.4}$$

where $E_{\mathfrak{p},\alpha}$ is the unramified extension of degree α of $E_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$). The function $\chi_{\sigma c}^{G(E_{\mathfrak{p},\alpha})}$ is the characteristic function of the subset of σ-compact elements in $G(E_{\mathfrak{p},\alpha})$, and $\mathrm{Fix}^{B}_{\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\alpha} \times f^{\infty p}}$ is the fibre product $\mathrm{Fix}_{\Phi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\alpha} \times f^{\infty p}} \times_\Delta B$, where Δ is the diagonal variety in $\mathrm{Sh}_{K,\mathbb{F}_q} \times \mathrm{Sh}_{K,\mathbb{F}_q}$.

By the stabilization argument of Kottwitz in [15] the right hand side of Equation (6.4) simplifies to

$$\sum_{\mathcal{E}} \iota(G,H) \cdot \mathrm{ST}_e^*(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} h), \tag{6.5}$$

where

- $\mathcal{E}$ is the (finite) set of (representatives of equivalence classes) of endoscopic groups H associated to G and unramified at all places where the data (G,K) are unramified.
- ST_e^* is a sum of stable integral orbitals on the elliptic (G,H)-regular elements in $H(\mathbb{Q})$ [15];
- $h \in \mathcal{H}(H(\mathbb{A}))$ are certain functions depending on f (and α, μ, G, H) described through their stable orbital integrals in Kottwitz [15];
- $\iota(G,H)$ is a certain explicit rational number.

The notation $\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} h$ is slightly abusive because h is a global function while $\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ is a function at p. When we write the product $\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} h$ we actually mean the function $h^p \otimes (\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} h_p)$, so the truncation only occurs at p. Before we finish the proof of Theorem 6.2 we prove some lemmas:

Lemma 6.3. *Let $P \subset G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be a proper standard parabolic subgroup of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Then the truncated constant term $\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f_\alpha^{(P)}$ vanishes.*

Proof. Let P be a parabolic subgroup of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. We have $f_\alpha^{(P)} = \mathbf{1}_{q^{-\alpha}} \otimes \bigotimes_{\wp | p} \prod f_{n\alpha s}^{(P_\wp)}$, where $P_\wp$ is the $\wp$-th component of P. If P is proper, then $P_\wp$ is proper as well. Pick some $\wp | p$ such that $s_\wp$ is coprime to n (Hypothesis 6.1). We look at the $\wp$-th component $f_\alpha^\wp$ of the function $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_0(G(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ via the isomorphism $\mathcal{H}_0(G(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \cong \mathcal{H}_0(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times) \otimes \bigotimes_{\wp|p} \mathcal{H}_0(\mathrm{GL}_n(F_\wp^+))$. In the notation of [18], we have $f_\alpha^\wp = f_{n\alpha_v s_v}$ [Prop. 3.3, *loc. cit.*]. These constant terms vanish for the proper parabolic subgroups in case s is coprime to n [Lem. 1.9, *loc. cit.*]. ☐

Lemma 6.4. *For any proper endoscopic group H of G we have $(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f)_\alpha^H = 0$.*

Proof. The transfer $f \rightsquigarrow f^H$ from the function on $G(\mathbb{A})$ to the endoscopic group $H(\mathbb{A})$ factors through the transfer from G to its quasi-split inner form G^*. At p, the group $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is quasi split and therefore $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) = G^*(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and we take the transfer from functions on $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to functions on $G^*(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to be trivial. We transfer the function $\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f_\alpha$ on $G^*(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to $H(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. We first consider the function $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_0(G^*(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ ($\mathcal{H}_0$ denotes the spherical Hecke algebra). In Section 3.4, case 2 on page 1668 of [26], Sug Woo Shin describes explicitly the transfer for quasi-split similitudes unitary groups. He starts by describing the endoscopic groups, and explains that any H can be identified with a group of the form $G(GU^*(n_1) \times GU^*(n_2))$ with $n = n_1 + n_2$ (there are some conditions on the possible partitions $n = n_1 + n_2$ here, but they are of no importance to us). In particular we assume H is the Levi-component of a maximal standard parabolic subgroup P_H of G^*. By the second last displayed formula on page 1668 of [*loc. cit*] the transfer of f_α to a function on $H(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is given by $f_\alpha^{(P_H(\mathbb{Q}_p))} \cdot \chi_{\varpi,u}^{+}$, where $\chi_{\varpi,u}^{+}$ is some function which we will not need to specify for our argument. The transfer of a conjugacy class in $H(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to a conjugacy class in $G^*(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is the obvious construction (i.e. induced from the inclusion $H(\mathbb{Q}_p) \subset G^*(\mathbb{Q}_p)$). Consequently the function

$$\left(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)}|_{H(\mathbb{Q}_p)}\right) f_\alpha^{(P_H(\mathbb{Q}_p))} \chi_{\varpi,u}^{+} \in \mathcal{H}(H(\mathbb{Q}_p)), \tag{6.6}$$

is a transfer of the function $\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f_\alpha$ to $H(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Therefore the transfer vanishes by Lemma 6.3. □

A function h is called cuspidal if for every non-elliptic semi-simple conjugacy class γ the orbital integral $O_\gamma(h)$ vanishes.

Lemma 6.5. *The truncated function* $\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f_\alpha$ *is cuspidal.*

Proof. Any non-elliptic conjugacy class of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is conjugated to an element of M for some proper standard Levi-subgroup M of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Let P be the corresponding standard parabolic subgroup of G. Then the orbital integral $O_\gamma(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f)$ is the product of a certain Jacobian factor with the M-orbital integral of γ of the function $\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f^{(P)} = 0$ (Lemma 6.4). Thus the function is cuspidal. □

Continuation of the proof of Theorem 6.2. Langlands stabilized in [21] the elliptic part of the trace formula T_e^G for any connected reductive group[2]:

$$\mathrm{T}_e^G(k) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{E}} \iota(G,H) \mathrm{ST}_e^H(k^H). \tag{6.7}$$

In Langlands's formula we have the following notations:

- k is an arbitrary Hecke operator in the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}))$ of G.
- T_e^G is the elliptic part of the invariant trace formula. More precisely

$$\mathrm{T}_e^G(k) := \sum_\gamma \mathrm{Vol}\left(G_\gamma(\mathbb{Q}) A_G(\mathbb{R})^+ \backslash G_\gamma(\mathbb{A})\right) O_\gamma(k),$$

---

[2] Langlands assumes additionally that the derived group of G is simply connected.

where the sum ranges over (a set of representatives of) the $G(\mathbb{Q})$-conjugacy classes of $\mathbb{Q}$-elliptic semi-simple elements in $G(\mathbb{Q})$, and G_γ is the centralizer subgroup of γ in G. The group $A_G \subset G$ is the split component of the center of G, and $A_G(\mathbb{R})^+$ is the topological neutral component of the set of real points of A_G. We did not normalize any Haar measure; for the correct normalizations we refer to Arthur [3].

- $\iota(G, H) \in \mathbb{Q}$ is a certain constant not depending on k (but it does depend on H and G). The constant is defined in [14, §8]; we do not repeat its definition here.
- ST_e^H is the elliptic part of the stable trace formula for the group H [3].
- h^H is an endoscopic transfer of the function k to the group $H(\mathbb{A}_\mathrm{f})$. See [3] for an explanation of endoscopic transfer.

It is customary to write sometimes T_e for T_e^G when the group G is understood; the same remark applies to $\mathrm{ST}_e = \mathrm{ST}_e^G$ and other such notations.

We have the truncated formula of Kottwitz.

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f, A) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{E}} \iota(G, H) \mathrm{ST}_e^{H*}(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} h). \tag{6.8}$$

(recall A is the free complex vector space on the supersingular stratum of the Shimura variety). The functions $h \in H(\mathbb{A})$ are described by their stable orbital integrals by Kottwitz in the article [15]. In particular the function h_p can be taken to be the endoscopic transfer of the (truncated) Kottwitz function to the endoscopic group $H(\mathbb{A})$ [*loc. cit.*, page 180, line 15]. We have verified above that these endoscopic transfers vanish. Thus we have $\mathrm{ST}_e^H(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} h) = 0$ for all proper endoscopic groups H.

By a result of Morel (and Clozel) we have $\mathrm{ST}_e^* = \mathrm{ST}_e$ (use [24, Prop. 3.3.4] and cf. [thm 6.2.1, *loc. cit.*], or use [7, (2.5)]) . Consequently, Equation (6.8) equals

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f, A) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{E}} \iota(G, H) \mathrm{ST}_e^{H}(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} h). \tag{6.9}$$

Return to the stabilization of the elliptic part of the trace formula

$$T_e^G(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f) = \sum_{\mathcal{E}} \iota(G, H) \mathrm{ST}_e^H((\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f)^H) \tag{6.10}$$

Also in this formula only the principal term[3] remains. Furthermore, the principal term of Formula (6.10) coincides with the principal term of Formula (6.8). Thus, the right hand side of Formula (6.8) simplifies to

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f, A) = \mathrm{T}_e^G(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f). \tag{6.11}$$

We compare $\mathrm{T}_e^G(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f)$ with the invariant trace formula for the group G. We use the results from Section 7 of [1]. In this section, Arthur shows that under various conditions on the Hecke function k on $G(\mathbb{A})$ both the invariant spectral expansion and the invariant geometric expansion of the invariant trace formula $I(k)$ simplify. In Corollary 7.3 of [*loc. cit.*] he shows the following. Assume there is a place v such that $\mathrm{Tr}(k_v, \pi_v) = 0$ whenever the representation π_v is a constituent of a properly induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(\sigma)$, where σ is an *unitary* representation of $M(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ (where $P = MN$). Under this assumption the spectral side simplifies:

---

[3] With the *principal term* we mean the term corresponding to the maximal endoscopic group $G^* \in \mathcal{E}$ of G (which is the quasi-split inner form of G).

$$\mathrm{I}(k) = \sum_{t \geq 0} \mathrm{Tr}(k, R_{\mathrm{disc},t}), \tag{6.12}$$

(here $R_{\mathrm{disc,\ t}}$ is the t-part of the discrete spectrum, $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, cf. [*loc. cit.*]).

We claim that Arthur's condition is true if we take $v := p$ and $k := \chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f$. The group $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is a product of general linear groups, therefore parabolic induction of an *unitary* representation from a proper Levi subgroup is irreducible [5]. The representation π_p is a full induction of the form $\mathrm{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(\sigma)$ with $P = MN$ a proper parabolic subgroup of G. The truncated constant terms of f vanish: $\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f^{(P(\mathbb{Q}_p))} = 0$ (for $P \subset G$ proper). By van Dijk's theorem adapted to compact traces [18, Prop. 3] we get

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f, \pi_p) = \mathrm{Tr}(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f^{P(\mathbb{Q}_p)}, \sigma) = 0,$$

Thus Arthur's condition is verified. We get:

$$\mathrm{I}(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f) = \sum_{t \geq 0} \mathrm{Tr}(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f, R_{\mathrm{disc},t}). \tag{6.13}$$

(We borrowed the argument for (6.13) from the proof of Corollary 7.5 in [*loc. cit.*].)

The geometric expansion of $I(f)$ also simplifies, because the function $\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f$ is cuspidal at two places (namely p and ∞). By Corollary 7.3 of [*loc. cit.*]:

$$\mathrm{I}(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f) = \mathrm{T}_e^G(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f). \tag{6.14}$$

Combining the previous considerations (Eq.'s (6.7)–(6.14)) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f, A) &= \sum_{H \in \mathcal{E}} \iota(G,H) \cdot \mathrm{ST}_e^H(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} h) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{E}} \iota(G,H) \cdot \mathrm{ST}_e^H((\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f)^H) \\ &= \mathrm{T}_e^G(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f) = \mathrm{I}(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f) = \sum_{t \geq 0} \mathrm{Tr}(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f, R_{\mathrm{disc},t}).\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f, A) = \sum_{t \geq 0} \mathrm{Tr}(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f, R_{\mathrm{disc},t}).$$

The rest of the argument for Theorem 6.2 is the same as the one we carried out for the Kottwitz varieties in our article [18, Thm. 3] (from Prop. 11 of [*loc. cit.*] onwards). This completes the proof. □

7. Equidistribution for endoscopic varieties

We extend the equidistribution result in Theorem 3.1 to a larger class of Shimura varieties of unitary type, but still assuming the basic stratum is finite.

We continue with the notations of the previous section, thus in particular (G, X) is a PEL-type Shimura datum with G a unitary group of similitudes. We write B for the basic stratum of S. The condition that B is finite is a condition on the signatures of the group G:

Proposition 7.1. *The basic stratum B is finite if and only if we have*

$\star$ *for all F^+-primes $\wp$ dividing p we have $s_\wp \in \{0, 1\}$.*

Lemma 7.2. *The condition $\star$ is true if and only if $P(q^\alpha) = 1$ for all positive integers α.*

Proof. The last example in Section 1 of [18]. □

Remark. It is possible that Proposition 7.1 can, knowing that the basic stratum is non-empty, be proved using a simple deformation theory argument.

Proof of Proposition 7.1. "$\Leftarrow$" Enlarge the divisibility of α so that the root of unity ζ^α is 1 for all automorphic representations contributing to Equation (6.1) (which are finite in number). Equation (6.2) simplifies to

$$\sum_{\pi, \dim(\pi)=1, \pi_p = \mathrm{Unr}} m(\pi) \cdot \mathrm{Tr}(f^p, \pi^p) + (-1)^{(n-1)r} \cdot \sum_{\pi, \pi_p = \text{St. type}} m(\pi) \cdot \mathrm{Tr}(f^p, \pi^p). \tag{7.1}$$

Take $f^p = \mathbf{1}_{K^p}$. The expression

$$\sum_{x' \in \mathrm{Fix}^B_{\Phi^\alpha_\mathfrak{p} \times f^{\infty p}}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)} \mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^\alpha_\mathfrak{p} \times f^{\infty p}, \iota^*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_x) \tag{7.2}$$

is constant when α is sufficiently divisible. Therefore B is finite.

"$\Rightarrow$" We use results from our preprint [20]. In the proof of the main theorem of that article we construct a Hecke operator $f \in \mathcal{H}(G(\mathbb{A}_\mathrm{f}))$ such that the trace of f against $\sum_{i=0}^\infty (-1)^i \mathrm{H}^i(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \iota^*\mathcal{L})$ is, for α sufficiently divisible, equal to a certain non-zero constant times $\mathrm{Tr}(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f_\alpha, \pi_p)$ where π_p is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation. From the explicit computation of the compact trace against the Steinberg representation [18, end of §1], the trace $\mathrm{Tr}(\chi_c^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} f_\alpha, \pi_p)$, is, for α sufficiently divisible, a polynomial in q^α of strictly positive degree $d > 0$. Now view $H := \sum_{i=0}^\infty (-1)^i \mathrm{H}^i(B_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \iota^*\mathcal{L})$ as a virtual representation of the absolute Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$. Writing this module as a linear combination of characters of the group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$, we know that in this expression the character $\Phi \mapsto q^d$ must appear with non-zero multiplicity. This means that the dimension of B is at least d. We know that $d > 0$, thus B must be infinite. □

Hypothesis 7.3. *We assume henceforward that the basic stratum B is finite.*

Definition 7.4. Let A be the free complex vector space on the set $B(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$. Then A is a module over the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{f}}))$.

Fix an integer α, sufficiently divisible so that $B(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) = B(\mathbb{F}_{q^\alpha})$. Then (as usual, using the fixed isomorphism $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \cong \mathbb{C}$) the expression in Equation (7.2) becomes the trace of f^p on the complex vector space A (Definition 7.4). Thus Equation (7.1) equals $\mathrm{Tr}(f^p, A)$. We have re-established Equation (1.1), but now for the larger class of Shimura varieties considered in this section. Repeat the argument for Theorem 3.1 using Equation (7.1) instead of Equation (1.1) to find that the equidistribution result is true with exactly the same rate of convergence as before.

8. A remark on the finiteness condition?

To end this article, we make a short speculation on the case where B is not finite. When the basic stratum is non-finite it contains finer sub-stratifications. These finer substratifications are preserved by the outside-p-Hecke operators. Therefore, one does not expect equidistribution in the whole basic stratum, but only inside these finer strata. If one wants to use methods similar to those of this paper to prove equidistribution in the finer strata, one first has to show that the cohomology of finer strata admits an automorphic description. In many cases, we think, this description will involve also automorphic representations whose local components are non-tempered, but still infinite dimensional (contribution of the residual spectrum of GL_n). This means that one will get a slower convergence rate than the one we got in this article.

References

[1] J. Arthur. The invariant trace formula. II. Global theory. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1(3):501–554, 1988.

[2] J. Arthur and L. Clozel. *Simple algebras, base change, and the advanced theory of the trace formula*, volume 120 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.

[3] James Arthur. An introduction to the trace formula. In *Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and Shimura varieties*, volume 4 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 1–263. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[4] A. I. Badulescu. Global Jacquet-Langlands correspondence, multiplicity one and classification of automorphic representations. *Invent. Math.*, 172(2):383–438, 2008. with an appendix by Neven Grbac.

[5] J. N. Bernstein. P-invariant distributions on $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ and the classification of unitary representations of $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ (non-Archimedean case). In *Lie group representations, II (College Park, Md., 1982/1983)*, volume 1041 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 50–102. Springer, Berlin, 1984.

[6] A. Caraiani. Local-global compatibility and the action of monodromy on nearby cycles. *Duke Math. J.* To appear.

[7] L. Clozel. Purity reigns supreme. *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, 2011. To appear.

[8] L. Clozel and P. Delorme. Pseudo-coefficients et cohomologie des groupes de Lie réductifs réels. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 300(12):385–387, 1985.

[9] L. Clozel, H. Oh, and E. Ullmo. Hecke operators and equidistribution of Hecke points. *Invent. Math.*, 144(2):327–351, 2001.

[10] L. Clozel and E. Ullmo. Équidistribution des points de Hecke. In *Contributions to automorphic forms, geometry, and number theory*, pages 193–254. Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 2004.

[11] P. Deligne. Travaux de Shimura. In *Séminaire Bourbaki, 23ème année (1970/71), Exp. No. 389*, pages 123–165. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 244. Springer, Berlin, 1971.

[12] M. Harris and R. Taylor. *The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties*, volume 151 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001. with an appendix by Vladimir G. Berkovich.

[13] R. E. Kottwitz. Shimura varieties and twisted orbital integrals. *Math. Ann.*, 269(3):287–300, 1984.

[14] R. E. Kottwitz. Stable trace formula: cuspidal tempered terms. *Duke Math. J.*, 51(3):611–650, 1984.

[15] R. E. Kottwitz. Shimura varieties and λ-adic representations. In *Automorphic forms, Shimura varieties, and L-functions, Vol. I (Ann Arbor, MI, 1988)*, volume 10 of *Perspect. Math.*, pages 161–209. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990.

[16] R. E. Kottwitz. On the λ-adic representations associated to some simple Shimura varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 108(3):653–665, 1992.

[17] R. E. Kottwitz. Points on some Shimura varieties over finite fields. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 5(2):373–444, 1992.

[18] A. Kret. The basic stratum of some simple Shimura varieties. *Math. Ann.* To appear.

[19] A. Kret. Combinatorics of the basic stratum. *Preprint*, 2012.

[20] A. Kret. The trace formula and the existence of pel type abelian varieties modulo p. *Preprint*, 2012.

[21] R. P. Langlands. *Les débuts d'une formule des traces stable*, volume 13 of *Publications Mathématiques de l'Université Paris VII [Mathematical Publications of the University of Paris VII]*. Université de Paris VII U.E.R. de Mathématiques, Paris, 1983.

[22] R. Menares. Equidistribution of Hecke points on the supersingular module. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* To appear.

[23] C. Mœglin and J.-L. Waldspurger. Le spectre résiduel de GL(n). *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 22(4):605–674, 1989.

[24] S. Morel. *On the cohomology of certain noncompact Shimura varieties*, volume 173 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2010. with an appendix by Robert Kottwitz.

[25] M. Rapoport. A guide to the reduction modulo p of Shimura varieties. *Astérisque*, (298):271–318, 2005. Automorphic forms. I.

[26] S. W. Shin. Galois representations arising from some compact Shimura varieties. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 173(3):1645–1741, 2011.

[27] M.-F. Vigneras. On the global correspondence between GL(n) and division algebras. *Lecture notes from IAS*, 1984.